

service of
tal energy
170,000,-
roximately

, however,
nated that
e railroad,
n up with
points of
movement
e tenders.
coal that
ion of 10
ate. This
he yearly
00,000,000
ad-factor,
50,000 kw.

s analysis
ws:
ded in the
has been
tions now
and carry
sent data
ffic of the

owhanded
lectrically,
f less than
y by coal-
ild reduce
ation pur-
ually.
he electric
ulic power
be reduced
taken of

aintaining
e a large
ocomotive
15,000,000

The Last Stand of the Reciprocating Steam Engine

By A. H. ARMSTRONG
CHAIRMAN ELECTRIFICATION COMMITTEE, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

The reciprocating steam engine is gradually disappearing from the industrial field, and indications point to a similar movement in the propulsion of ships. The author foresees an era of electrification in the steam road field, as the trend of real progress. The remarkable success of the C. M. & St. P. electrification warrants the belief that this method of haulage could be used to advantage, beginning with terminals, mountain grades, and congested districts. Although treated in a broad way, the recommendations may well be applied to specific cases, where roads are confronted with heavy expenditures for improvement of existing facilities. The article was originally presented as a paper before the Schenectady Section of the A.I.E.E., Feb. 20, 1920.—EDITOR.



A. H. Armstrong

DURING the year 1920 the people of the United States will pay out for automobiles, not commercial trucks or farm tractors, but pleasure vehicles, a sum of money considerably greater than the estimated requirements of our steam railways for that year. The railways, however may find it very difficult

and perhaps impossible to secure the large sums needed without government aid, notwithstanding the fact that the continued operation and expansion of our roads is of vital necessity to the welfare and prosperity of the country and all its industries. The will of the American public has always been constructive and undoubtedly, in due time, its voice will be heard and properly interpreted by its representatives in Washington with the resulting enactment of such laws as will permit our railways again to offer an attractive field for the investment of private capital.

The purpose of this article is not to discuss the politics of the situation nor any necessary increase in freight rates that may be required to make our roads self-sustaining, but rather to offer certain suggestions as to the best manner of spending the sums that must ultimately be provided for new construction and replacements.

During the war period many lessons were most clearly brought home to us and not the least of these is that there is something inherently wrong with our steam railroads. During the three generations of its development, we have become accustomed to look upon the steam engine as properly belonging to the railway picture and have given little thought to its wastefulness and limitations. It is around the steam locomotive

that railway practice of today has gradually crystallized.


During the winter of 1917-18 our railways fell down badly when the need for them was the greatest in their history. It is true that the cold weather conditions were unprecedented and the volume of traffic abnormal, but the weaknesses of steam engine haulage were disclosed in a most startling and disastrous manner. Delayed passenger trains in cold weather can be endured by the traveling public in suffering silence or voluble expression, according to temperament; but the blocking of our tracks with frozen engines and trains, resulting in a serious reduction of tonnage in cold weather and a prohibitive delay in transportation of freight in times of great stress, is quite another thing and plainly indicates the inability of the steam engine to meet overloads and adverse climatic conditions.

In marked contrast to the adjoining steam engine divisions, the 440-mile electrified section of the Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway continued to do business as usual all through that trying winter of 1917-18. The electric locomotives brought both freight and passenger trains over the electrified tracks in schedule time or better; in fact, it was quite customary to make up on the 440-mile electric run fully two hours of the time lost by passenger trains on adjoining steam engine divisions. While the results obtained upon the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul were perhaps more spectacular due to the greater mileage electrically equipped, other electrified roads contributed similarly attractive records. The reliability and permanency of the comparison between steam and electric locomotive haulage is sufficiently guaranteed, therefore, by the results of several years' operation, to justify drawing certain conclusions regarding the merits of the two types of motive power. The following analysis of the railway situation is therefore offered for the purpose of exposing

and capacity
ion of every

ie tracks of
l in a most
in Table I.
g \$5.56 per
e during the

Miles
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000
1,000,000



idamentally
c operation.
otive, how-
uced to the
ems (6) and
80 per cent
he total of
, it may be
arison that

approximately 12 per cent or 146,000,000,000 ton-miles at present hauled by steam engines over our roads will be totally eliminated with electric locomotive haulage. This ton-mileage eliminated is equal to over 20 per cent of items (1) and (2) representing the revenue producing freight traffic on our railways. In other words, if all our railways were completely electrified they could carry one fifth more revenue producing freight tonnage with no change in present operating expenses or track congestion.

It is evident that the greater part of the tonnage reduction effected by electrification is included in items (5) and (6), representing the railway coal movement in cars and engine tenders. The steam engine tender will of course entirely disappear, while the railway coal haulage will be largely curtailed by utilization of water as a source of power and the establishment of steam power houses as near the coal mines as an abundant supply of good condensing water and load demand will permit. While water power should be utilized to the fullest economical extent, the greater portion of the railway power must undoubtedly be supplied by coal, due to the unequal geographical distribution of water power available.

Even with coal as the source of power, it may not be fully appreciated just how enormous is the saving made by burning fuel in large modern power stations under the most efficient conditions possible, instead of under the boilers of 63,000 engines which by necessity must be designed and operated for service rather than for fuel economy. During the year 1918 the fuel used by railways is reported to be as shown in Table II.

TABLE II RAILWAY FUEL 1918	
Total coal production (all grades).....	678,211,000 tons
Used by steam railways.....	163,000,000 tons
Percentage of total.....	24 per cent
Total oil marketed in U.S.....	355,927,000 bbl.
Used by steam railways.....	45,700,000 bbl.
Percentage of total.....	5.8 per cent
Coal equivalent of oil at 3½ bbl....	13,000,000 tons
Total equivalent railway coal.....	176,000,000 tons

A quarter of all the coal mined in the United States is consumed on our railways and the following analysis will point out some features of this extreme wastefulness which are inseparable from steam engine operation.

During the year 1910, exhaustive tests were made upon the Rocky Mountain Division of the C., M. & St. P. Ry. to

determine the relation existing between the horse-power-hours work done in moving trains and the coal and water consumed on the steam engines in service. Table III gives the results of these tests:

TABLE III
C., M. & ST. P. RY.; ROCKY MOUNTAIN
DIVISION
Coal and Water Used

	Water per H.p.-hr.	Water per Lb. Coal	Coal per H.p.-Hr.
Three Forks-Piedmont.....	39.6	5.08	7.75
Piedmont-Donald.....	35.4	4.70	7.54
Deer Lodge-Butte.....	39.7	4.85	8.31
Butte-Donald.....	40.4	4.86	8.74
Harlowton-Janny.....	38.0	4.09	8.90
Janny-Summit.....	44.2	4.65	9.48
Three Forks-Piedmont.....	41.4	6.51	6.37
Piedmont-Donald.....	40.2	5.63	5.78
Average of eight tests....	39.86	5.04	7.86

The records were obtained during the portion of the runs that the engines were doing useful work in overcoming train and grade resistance, that is, all standby losses were excluded. The through run, however, included such losses in the magnitude shown in Table IV:

TABLE IV
STANDBY LOSSES

	Coal per hour
Fire banked in roundhouse.....	150 lb.
Cleaning fires for starting.....	800 lb.
Coasting down grade.....	950 lb.
Standing on passing track.....	500 lb.

Adding standby losses to the average of 7.86 lb. per h.p.-hr. obtained in the preceding eight tests, the total actual coal consumed under the engine boiler in twenty-four hours divided by the actual work performed by the engine is found to be 10.18 lb. per h.p.-hr. at the driver rims.

As the result of this particular series of tests it was determined that the coal consumed while doing useful work was raised 30 per cent by standby losses. It should be appreciated in this connection moreover that this value was obtained on through runs with no yard switching service or adverse climatic conditions. It may be concluded, therefore, that under all conditions of service fully one third the coal burned on our steam engines today is absolutely wasted in standby losses of the general nature indicated above.

Supplementing these tests, a 30-day record was kept of all coal used on the entire Rocky Mountain Division and the total engine, tender, and train movement reduced to horsepower-hours, resulting in a value of

10.53 lb. coal consumed per horsepower-hour at the driver rims. Both the above values were based upon constants of 6 lb. per ton train resistance at all speeds and 0.7 lb. per ton per degree of curvature as determined in part by dynamometer car tests and representative of general railway operation. Reducing the average coal values of the test runs and the 30-day record per horsepower-hour to electrical constants, we arrive at the data shown in Table V:

TABLE V
COAL EQUIVALENT PER KW-HR.;
STEAM OPERATION

Coal per h.p.-hr. at driver rims.....	10.27 lb.
Coal per kw-hr. at driver rims.....	13.75 lb.
Coal per kw-hr. at power supply on basis 55 per cent efficiency.....	7.56 lb.

It is this last figure of 7.56 lb. of coal burned on steam engines to get the equivalent tonnage movement of one kilowatt-hour delivered from an electric power station that is of special interest to this discussion. Comparing coal and electrical records on the Butte, Anaconda & Pacific Railway before and after electrification results in arriving at a value of 7.17 lb. of coal previously burned on the steam engines to equal the same service now performed by one kilowatt-hour input at the substations, a figure comparing favorably with 7.56 lb. above arrived at by an entirely different method.

TABLE VI
ANALYSIS OF ROUNDUP COAL USED

Fixed carbon.....	49.26 per cent
Volatile carbon.....	38.12 per cent
Ash.....	7.74 per cent
Moisture.....	4.88 per cent
B.t.u.....	11,899

Making due allowance for the fact that roundup coal is somewhat low in heat units, it is nevertheless within the limits of reasonable accuracy to assume that the steam engines operating over all our railways are consuming coal at a rate closely approximating 12.75 lb. per kilowatt-hour of useful work done, as measured at the driver rims or 7 lb. per kilowatt-hour as measured at a power station and including for convenience of comparison the transmission and conversion losses inherent to electrical operation.

An electric kilowatt can be produced for so much less than 7 lb. of coal that we are now in position to finally forecast the approximate extent of the coal economy that would result from electrification.

All power values in Table VII are given at the point of supply from the Montana Power Company at 100,000 volts and include deductions made for the return of power due to regenerative braking of the electric locomotives on down grades, amounting to approximately 14 per cent of the total. Owing to the excessive rise and fall of the profile of the electrified zone of the C., M. & St. P. Ry., its operation is materially benefited by regenerative electric braking and the value of 33.2 watthours per ton mile for combined and passenger movement should possibly be raised to the round figure of 40 to make it apply more nearly to conditions universally obtaining on more regular profiles.

Hence referring again to the ton-mile values of Table I:

Total ton-miles, 1918.....	1,215,400,000,000
Watthours ton mile.....	40
Kw-hr. total movement.....	48,700,000,000
Coal required at 7 lb. per kw-hr....	170,000,000 ton

TABLE VII
RELATION BETWEEN KW-HR. AND TON-MILES
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL RAILWAY

Avery-Harlowton—Year 1918

	Passenger	Freight
Average weight locomotive.....	300 ton	284 ton
Locomotive miles.....	651,000	1,431,500
Locomotive ton-miles.....	195,000,000	407,000,000
Trailing ton-miles.....	434,406,000	2,903,099,000
Total ton-miles.....	629,406,000	3,310,049,000
Kilowatt-hours.....	24,890,000	105,287,000
Watthours per ton-mile.....	39.6	31.9
Ratio locomotive to total.....	31 per cent	12.3 per cent
Watthours per ton-mile combined movement.....	33.2	
Ratio locomotive to total combined movement.....	15.25 per cent	

Th
our s
as 17
the
from
C., M
value
pletic
as sh

CC
Total
Reduc
Total
Kw-hr
Coal c
Equiv
Savin

The
appro
more
our (r
save
of th
fied
succe
is 50
expo
amot
railw
estin
allow
utiliz

the fact that in heat units, limits of reason- at the steam r railways are ly approximat- of useful work r rims or 7 lb. d at a power onvenience of und conversion ration.

produced for so at we are now ie approximate it would result

II are given at Fontana Power and include of power due the electric amounting to of the total. nd fall of the the C., M. & rially benefited ing and the ton mile for ement should figure of 40 to to conditions gular profiles. on-mile values

215,400,000,000
.....40
48,700,000,000
170,000,000 ton

Freight
284 ton
431,500
000,000
099,000
049,000
287,000
31.9
per cent

The actual equivalent coal consumed on our steam railways for the year 1918 is given as 176,000,000 tons, closely approximating the figure of 170,000,000 tons estimated from the operating results obtained on the C., M. & St. P. electrified zone. These several values check so closely as to justify the completion of the fuel analysis of the railways as shown in Table VIII.

TABLE VIII	
COAL SAVING BY ELECTRIFICATION	
Total ton-miles steam.....	1,215,400,000,000
Reduction by electrification.....	146,000,000,000
Total ton-miles electric.....	1,069,400,000,000
Kw-hr. electric at 40 watts.....	42,776,000,000
Coal on basis 2½ lb. per kw-hr....	53,500,000 tons
Equivalent railway coal 1918.....	176,000,000 tons
Saving by electrification.....	122,500,000 tons

developed to produce power more cheaply than by coal in many favored localities.

Perhaps no nation can be justly criticised for lavishly using the natural resources with which it may be abundantly provided. In striking contrast with the picture of fuel waste on the railways in this country however is the situation presented in Europe at this writing.

Faced with a staggering war debt, with two millions of its best men gone and an undetermined number incapacitated for hard labor, and with so much reconstruction work to do, France has to contend also with the destruction of half its coal producing capacity. Before the war, France imported twenty-three million of the sixty-five million tons of coal consumed. It is estimated that the full




Fig. 2. 5000-ton, 100-car Freight Train on the Missoula Division, Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway

The startling conclusion arrived at is that approximately 122,500,000 tons of coal, or more than two thirds the coal now burned in our 63,000 steam engines, would have been saved during the year 1918 had the railways of the United States been completely electrified along lines fully tried out and proved successful today. This vast amount of coal is 50 per cent greater than the pre-war exports of England, and twice the total amount consumed in France for all its railways and industries. Moreover, the estimate is probably too conservative as no allowance has been made for the extensive utilization of water power which can be

restoration of the coal mines in the Lens region will take ten years to accomplish, which means materially increasing the coal imported into France if pre-war consumption is to be reached, as the relief rendered from the Saar District will not compensate for the loss in productivity of the mines destroyed by the Germans. This situation is being promptly met in part by France in the appointment of a Commission* to study the feasibility of the general electrification of all its railways with special reference to immediate construction in districts adjacent to its three large water-power groups, the

* See article by M. Mauduit in this issue.

Alps, the Pyrenes, and the Dordogne or Central plateau region. It is proposed to electrify 5200 miles of its total of 26,000 miles of railways during a period covering twenty years. If this work is accomplished at a uniform rate of 260 miles a year, it is a most modest program, considering the extreme necessity for the improvement.

In even worse plight is Italy with practically no coal of its own and compelled to import its total supply of 9,000,000 tons. The war has brought home to these countries what it means to be dependent upon imported fuel for their very existence and both Italy and Switzerland are also proceeding with extensive plans for railway electrification. Contrary to general understanding, the mines of Belgium are not destroyed, but the need of fuel economy is very acute and this country

From figures given, the conclusions in Table IX are arrived at in the matter of power station capacity required for complete electrification of the railways in the United States.

TABLE IX
RAILWAY POWER REQUIRED

Kw-hr. electric operation, 1918.....	42,776,000,000 kw-hr.
Average load, 100 per cent load-factor.....	4,875,000 kw.
Power station capacity at 50 per cent load-factor.....	9,750,000 kw.

It appears therefore that approximately 10,000,000 kw. power station capacity would have been sufficient to run all the railroads for the year 1918, or one half the station capacity which has been constructed during the past thirty years.




Fig. 3. Characteristic Curves of Gearless Passenger Locomotive

also has broad plans for railway electrification with immediate construction in view.

Recognizing the many advantages of electric operation of its railways, Europe furthermore considers this a most opportune time to start the change rather than to spend its limited funds in replacing worn out and obsolete steam equipment in kind. Also in marked contrast to the American attitude is the sympathetic interest and constructive assistance rendered by the Governments abroad in regard to the vital matter of rehabilitation of its railway systems. It would not be without precedent if the next decade witnessed England and the Continent outstripping this country in the exploitation of another industry which, while possibly not conceived here, has certainly been more fully developed and perfected in America than elsewhere.

TABLE X
ESTIMATED POWER STATION CAPACITY
UNITED STATES—YEAR 1918

Central stations.....	9,000,000 kw.
Electric railways.....	3,000,000 kw.
Isolated plants.....	8,000,000 kw.
Total.....	20,000,000 kw.

In the order of magnitude, therefore, it is not such a formidable problem to consider the matter of power supply for our electrified railways and it becomes evident also that the railway power demand will be secondary to industrial and miscellaneous requirements.

Such being the case, the question of frequency of electric power supply becomes of great importance, if full benefit is to be obtained from extensive interconnected generating and transmission systems covering the

entire
ment c
ing bo
same t
tion of
load c
In t
troubl
inhere
succes
& St.
strain
mately
been
install

satisf
limit

April
May
June
July
Augu
Sept

Tl
perf

conclusions in
matter of power
complete electri-
United States.

REQUIRED

776,000,000 kw-hr.
4,875,000 kw.
9,750,000 kw.

approximately
capacity would
all the railroads
half the station
constructed during

entire country. Indeed with the full develop-
ment of interconnected power systems supply-
ing both railway and industrial load from the
same transmission wires, the above assump-
tion of 50 per cent load-factor for the railway
load can be materially bettered.

In this connection a method of limiting the
troublesome peak load hitherto considered
inherent to railway power supply has been in
successful operation on the electrified C., M.
& St. P. zone for the past year. With unre-
strained peaks, the load-factor was approxi-
mately 40 per cent, but this low value has
been raised to nearly 60 per cent by the
installation of an inexpensive and most

Mountain Division supplied by seven sub-
stations controlled as a unit. A load-factor of
nearly 60 per cent brings the electric railway
within the list of desirable customers and
makes it possible for power companies to
quote attractively low rates for power.

Returning again to the question of power
supply, it is instructive to note the general
trend toward a higher frequency as evidenced
by the turbine and transformer sales of the
General Electric Company during the past
decade.

It is quite evident that 60 cycles is rapidly
becoming the standard frequency in America;
and many instances are on record where it has




Fig. 4. Comparative Sales of 25 and 60-cycle Transformers and Steam Turbines

ON CAPACITY
AR 1918

9,000,000 kw.
3,000,000 kw.
8,000,000 kw.
20,000,000 kw.

therefore, it
em to consider
our electrified
it also that the
secondary to
quirements.
question of
pply becomes
enefit is to be
nnected gener-
s covering the

satisfactory device known as the power
limiting and indicating apparatus.

TABLE XI
LOAD-FACTOR RECORDS
C., M. & ST. P. RY.
1919

	Per Cent Duration of Peak	Per Cent Load-Factor
April.....	6.4	59.3
May.....	4.6	56.1
June.....	1.6	56.5
July.....	0.7	55.6
August.....	4.1	54.7
September.....	9.5	58.8

The readings in Table XI cover the
performance on the 220 miles of the Rocky

replaced lower frequencies, principally 25
cycles. This fact in no manner handicaps the
future development of electric railways, as
entirely satisfactory power can be obtained
from 60-cycle transmission lines through
rotary converters or synchronous motor-
generator sets, depending upon the direct-
current trolley voltage desired. Indeed a
growing appreciation of the declining impor-
tance of 25-cycle power generation in this
country contributed largely to the demise of
the single-phase system, as its chief claim for
recognition is wiped out with the introduction
of the motor-generator substations required
with 60-cycle supply.

While America apparently has adopted
60 cycles as its standard frequency and can
look forward to unlimited interconnection of

its large power systems, European practice is evidently crystallizing on 50 cycles. The situation abroad is as yet, however, not clearly defined. In such a small compact country as Switzerland for instance, where so much electrical development is taking place, there is much conflict of frequencies. Apparently there is little appreciation of the advantages resulting from interconnected power stations; in fact the Loetschberg Railway is supplied with power from 15-cycle waterwheel-driven generators placed in the same power station with 42-cycle units supplying industrial load while in the same

average load demand for the country as a whole.

A good example of the necessity for improvement in power distribution conditions in Switzerland is provided in the supply of power to the Loetschberg Railway as illustrated in Table XII:

TABLE XII
POWER SUPPLY TO THE LOETSCH-
BERG RAILWAY
March, 1919

Total for month.....	540,180 kw-hr.
Average of six 15 min. peaks.....	3,489 kw.
Load-factor, basis 24 hours.....	20.8 per cent




Fig. 5. General Views of Grand Central Terminal Area from 50th Street Before and After Electrification

immediate district there is a 50-cycle transmission line and no tie-in frequency changer sets as yet installed to interconnect any two frequencies. The power company, power consumer, and electrical manufacturer pay heavily for the complication imposed by maintaining three frequencies where only one is needed, and growing appreciation of this fact may lead to the standardization of 50 cycles in Switzerland and thus swing that country in line with its neighbors and ultimately bring about a more economical ratio of installed generator capacity to

As the railway was operating for only seventeen hours per day, the load-factor during actual operation is somewhat better than 20.8 per cent. On the other hand, the actual momentary peak load greatly exceeded 3489 kw.; and this very fluctuating railway load furnishes a good illustration of the need of combining it with other diversified loads, in order to keep down the fixed investment of power station equipment now set aside for this isolated railway load. For example, the 60 per cent load-factor of the C., M. & St. P. power demand is the ratio of average to

momentary
Railway
15-min. load
in excess

Apparatus
frequencies
require
necessitate
changing
for 15-cy-
cle electri-
fication
ing and
the choice
night
viewed
power e-

Fig

tion of
direct-cu

From
electrific
conclusi
now in c
load air
horse p
insignifi
owned.
and for
portion
service
four hor
ten per
C. M.
load of
only 15
by supp

country as a
necessity for
tion conditions
the supply of
ilway as illus-

LOETSCH.

.540,180 kw-hr.
3,489 kw.
20.8 per cent

momentary peak while the Loetschberg Railway peak load is determined by six 15-min. peaks with momentary peaks greatly in excess of this figure.

Apparently the adoption of a standard frequency of 50 cycles would meet all general requirements in Switzerland, but would necessitate the installation of frequency changing substations to meet the demands for 15-cycle single-phase railway power. If the electrified railways are to benefit, therefore, from the establishment of a common generating and transmission system in Switzerland, the choice of the single-phase railway system might possibly be considered unfortunate, viewed in the light of modern development in power economics and the successful adapta-

from one transmission system, the average combined load-factor is raised to nearly 60 per cent, a figure which could even be surpassed on roads of more regular profile. Furthermore, when the railway load is merged with the lighting and industrial power of the district and the whole diversified load supplied from the same 60-cycle transmission and generating system, it is quite evident that all the conditions are most favorable for the efficient production of power. In this country such an achievement will probably be governed by the laws of economic return upon the capital required because our vast natural fuel resources are popularly regarded as inexhaustible, but in Europe there is the compelling spur of stern



Fig. 6. High Speed Gearless Passenger Locomotive for the C., M. & St. P. Rwy. 3000-volt Direct-current Electrification

tion of the less expensive and more flexible direct-current motor to high trolley voltages.

From the power station standpoint, the electrification of our railways admits but one conclusion. We have some 63,000 engines now in operation and their average combined load amounts to approximately four million horse power at the driver rims, or only an insignificant total of 65 h.p. for each engine owned. It is true that, owing to shopping and for one cause or another, a large proportion of these engines are not in active service at all times, still the average twenty-four hour output of each engine is less than ten per cent of its rating. In the case of the C. M. & St. P. electrification, the average load of each individual electric locomotive is only 15 per cent of its continuous rating, but by supplying power to 45 electric locomotives

necessity behind the movement to utilize economically the water powers they possess in place of the coal they cannot get.

While the much discussed subject of power generation and transmission is a very vital part of the railway electrification project, chief interest centers in the electric locomotive itself. Few realize what a truly wonderful development has taken place in this connection in a comparatively few years and how peculiarly fitted this type of motive power is to meet the requirements of rail transportation. Free from the limitations of the steam boiler, and possessing in the electric motor the most efficient and flexible known means of transmitting power to the driving axles, the electric locomotive gives promise of revolutionizing present steam railway practice when its capabilities become fully recognized.

The only limits placed upon the speed and hauling capacity of a single locomotive are those imposed by track alignment and standard draft rigging. Only questions of cost and expediency control the size of the locomotive that can be built and operated by one man, as there are no mechanical or electrical limitations that have not been brushed aside by careful development. Just what this means in advancing the art of railroading is as yet but faintly grasped, any more than the boldest prophet of twenty years ago could have fully pictured the change that has taken place at the Grand Central Terminal as the result of replacing steam by electricity.

Progress in utilizing the capabilities of the electric locomotive has been slow. It is hard to break away from life-long railway traditions established by costly experience in many cases. In consequence the electric locomotive has thus far simply replaced the steam engine in nearly similar operation. Even under such conditions of only partial fulfillment of its possibilities, the electric locomotive has scored such a signal operating success as to justify giving it the fullest consideration in future railway improvement plans.

On the C., M. & St. P. Ry. 42 electric locomotives have replaced 112 steam engines and are hauling a greater tonnage with reserve capacity for still more. On this and other roads, electrification has set a new standard for reliability and low cost of operation. In fact, although no official figures have yet been published, it is an open secret that the reduction in previous steam operating expenses on the C., M. & St. P. Ry. are sufficient to show an attractive return upon the twelve and a half millions expended for the 440 miles of electrification, without deducting the value of the 112 steam engines released for service elsewhere. As the electric locomotive is destined to leave its deep impression upon the development history of our railways, it is fitting that the remainder of this paper should be devoted to its consideration.

Our steam engine construction is unsymmetrical in wheel arrangement, must run single ended, and is further handicapped with the addition of a tender to carry its fuel and water supply. The result has been much congestion at terminals; and the necessary roundhouses, always with the inevitable turn tables, ash pits, and coal and water facilities, have occupied much valuable land;

and in addition steam operation has greatly depreciated the value of neighboring real estate. The contrast offered by the two large electric terminals in New York City is too apparent to need more than passing comment, and similar results may be expected on the fulfillment of plans for electrifying the Chicago terminals.

While it has been a simple matter to design electric locomotives to run double ended at the moderate speeds required in freight service, the problem of higher speed attainment, exceeding 60 miles per hour, has presented greater difficulties. The electric motor is however so adaptable to the needs of running gear design that electric locomotives are now in operation which can meet all the requirements of high-speed passenger train running. These results, also, are obtained with less than 40,000 lb. total weight, and 9500 lb. non-spring borne or "dead" weight on each driving axle, and finally, but not least, with both front and rear trucks riding equally well, a success never before achieved in locomotives of such large capacity.

In connection with the riding qualities of electric locomotives, it is of interest to note the conclusions that the Committee of the American Railway Engineering Association, F. E. Turneure, Chairman, reached in their report of 1917:

"From the results of the tests on the electrified section of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway, the tests made in 1916 on the Norfolk and Western, and the few tests made in 1909 at Schenectady, N. Y., it would appear to be fairly well established that the impact effect from electric locomotives is very much less than from steam locomotives of the usual type. Comparing results obtained in these tests with the results from steam locomotives, it would appear that the impact from electric locomotives on structures exceeding, say, 25 ft. span length, is not more than one third of the impact produced by steam locomotives."

There is as yet no general acceptance of a standard design of electric locomotive. Geared side-rod construction for heavy freight service and twin motors geared to a quill for passenger locomotives appear to find favor with the Westinghouse-Baldwin engineers, while the General Electric Company goes in for the simple arrangement of geared axle motors for freight and gearless motors for passenger locomotives. In both Switzerland and Italy the side-rod locomotive enjoys an almost

exclu
for s
strict
curre
facts
and

repa
mech
Th
further
ment
with
doub
facto
of m
rulin
conn
flexil
poor
quire
and
are s
tion
be in
the
as th
terce
ing
to hi
Th
moto
does
nanc
effici
perf
New
Tabl
did
type
Th
moti
sider
sever

n has greatly
ghboring real
by the two
York City is
than passing
y be expected
ectrifying the

ttter to design
ble ended at
l in freight
speed attain-
r hour, has
The electric
to the needs
electric loco-
ich can meet
ed passenger
s, also, are
total weight,
or "dead"
d finally, but
l rear trucks
never before
ch large ca-

qualities of
erest to note
uttee of the
Association,
ched in their

the electrified
& St. Paul
the Norfolk
de in 1909 at
r to be fairly
t from electric
from steam
paring results
ts from steam
impact from
ceeding, say,
e third of the
es."

eptance of a
tive Geared
eight service
or passenger
or with the
, while the
in for the
e motors for
r passenger
d and Italy
an almost

exclusive field. How much of this preference for side-rod construction is due to the restrictions imposed by the use of alternating-current motors is hard to determine, but the facts available indicate both in this country and abroad the uniformly higher cost of




Fig. 7. Armature and Wheels of 3000-volt Direct-current Gearless Locomotive

repairs of this more complicated form of mechanical drive.

The electric railway situation in Italy is further complicated by the employment of three-phase induction motors with all the attendant handicaps of double overhead trolleys, low power-factor, constant speeds, and overheating of motors resulting from operation on ruling gradients with motors in cascade connection. In many respects the non-flexible three-phase induction motor is poorly adapted to meet the varied requirements of universal electrification; and in consequence Italian engineers are still struggling with the vexing question of a system, which may, however, be in fair way of settlement through the adoption of a standard of 50 cycles as the frequency of a nation-wide interconnected power supply, thus throwing the preponderance of advantages to high-voltage direct current.

The extreme simplicity of the gearless motor locomotive appeals to many as does its enviable record of low maintenance cost, reliability, and high operating efficiency, as exemplified by its unvarying performance in the electrified zone of the New York Central for the past twelve years. Table XIII shows that the high cost of living did not appear to have reached this favored type of locomotive until the year 1918.

The records on the C., M. & St. P. locomotive are equally remarkable when considering their greater weight and more severe character of the service.

TABLE XIII
MAINTENANCE COSTS
NEW YORK CENTRAL

	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918
Number locomotives owned.....	48	62	63	63	73	73
Average weight, tons.....	118	118	118	118	118	118
Cost repairs per locomotive mile.....	4.32	4.03	4.45	3.78	4.01	6.26

TABLE XIV
LOCOMOTIVE MAINTENANCE COSTS
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL RAILWAY

	1916	1917	1918
Number locomotives owned.....	20	44	45
Average weight, tons.....	290	290	290
Cost repairs per loco. mile.....	8.21	9.62	10.87




Fig. 8. Comparative Efficiencies of Original Geared and New Gearless C. M. & St. P. Rwy. Passenger Locomotives

In both these instances the cost of repairs approaches closely to three cents per 100 tons of locomotive weight. Giving due credit to the excellent repair shop service rendered in each case, it is instructive to note that three cents per 100 tons maintenance cost of these direct-current locomotives is less than half the figures given for any of the alternating-current locomotives operating in the United States or in Europe.

Compared with the cost of repairs for equivalent steam engines, the foregoing figures for electric locomotives are so very favorable as to justify the general statement that electric motive power can be maintained for approximately one third the cost of that of steam engines for the same train tonnage handled. As locomotive maintenance is a measure of reliability in service and in a way expresses the number of engine failures, it is quite in keeping with the records available to state also that the electric locomotive has introduced a new standard of reliability that effects material savings in engine and train crew expense as well.

formerly took to handle the lesser tonnage by steam engines. This means a material increase in capacity of this single-track line which may be conservatively estimated in the order of at least 50 per cent and probably more. In other words, on this particular road, electrification has effected economies which sufficiently justify the capital expenditure incurred and furthermore has postponed for an indefinite period any necessity for constructing a second track through this difficult mountainous country.

A careful study of the seriously congested tracks of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad between Grafton and Cumberland disclosed




Fig. 9. Latest Type Gearless Passenger Locomotive in Service in the New York Central Electric Zone

While the first cost of electrification is admittedly high, it may in certain instances be the cheapest way to increase the tonnage carrying capacity of a single track especially in mountain districts where construction is most expensive and steam engine operation is most severely handicapped. In this connection a comparison of steam and electric operation on the C., M. & St. P. Rwy. may be summarized as follows:

For the same freight tonnage handled over the Rocky Mountain Division, electric operation has effected a reduction of $22\frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the number of trains, 24.5 per cent in the average time per train, and has improved the operating conditions so that nearly 30 per cent more tonnage can be handled by electric operation in about 80 per cent of the time it

vitaly interesting facts. Company coal movement in coal cars and engine tenders constituted over 11 per cent of the total ton-miles passing over the tracks. In other words, due to the very broken profile of this division, the equivalent of one train in every nine is required to haul the coal burned on the engines. Taking advantage of this fact and the higher speed and hauling capacity of the electric locomotive and its freedom from delays due to taking on water and fuel, it is estimated that the three tracks now badly congested with present steam engine tonnage could carry 80 per cent more freight with electric locomotive operation. The coal output of the Fairmont District is largely restricted by the congestion of this division of the B. & O. R. R. and it is probable that


ser tonnage by
s a material
ngle-track line
estimated in
and probably
articular road,
nomies which
l expenditure
postponed for
ssity for con-
h this difficult

isly congested
Ohio Railroad
and disclosed




ne


ompany coal
ngine tenders
of the total
xs. In other
profile of this
rain in every
urned on the
this fact and
pacity of the
eedom from
nd fuel, it is
s now badly
gine tonnage
freight with
The coal
ct is largely
this division
robable that




WEIGHT-LOCOMOTIVE & TENDER	414,500 lb.	STEAM PRESSURE	200 lb.
WEIGHT OF TENDER	154,000 "	HEATING SURFACE	3614 sq.ft.
WEIGHT ON DRIVERS	201,000 "	GRATE AREA	48.8 "
CYLINDERS	24"x30"	TRACTION EFFORT	46,630 lb.



WEIGHT-LOCOMOTIVE & TENDER	555,700 lb.	STEAM PRESSURE	200 lb.
WEIGHT OF TENDER	165,700 "	HEATING SURFACE	6554.6 sq.ft.
WEIGHT ON DRIVERS	323,500 "	GRATE AREA	72.4 "
CYLINDERS	23 1/2"x37"x30"	TRACTION EFFORT	76,200 lb.



WEIGHT OF MECH. EQUIPMENT	328,000 lb.	MOTORS	8
WEIGHT OF ELEC. EQUIPMENT	248,000 "	TYPE OF MOTOR	GE 253,1500/3000 VOLTS
WEIGHT-TOTAL	576,000 "	GEARING	82-18 RATIO 4.55
WEIGHT ON DRIVERS	450,000 "	TRACTION EFFORT	1 HOUR 85,000 lb.



WEIGHT OF MECH. EQUIPMENT	295,000 lb.	MOTORS	12
WEIGHT OF ELEC. EQUIPMENT	235,000 "	TYPE OF MOTOR	GE 100,1000/3000 VOLTS
WEIGHT-TOTAL	530,000 "	GEARING	GEARLESS
WEIGHT ON DRIVERS	458,000 "	TRACTION EFFORT	1 HOUR 46,000 lb.

Fig. 10. Principal Types of Steam and Electric Locomotives on the Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway, Puget Sound Lines

equal relief with continued steam engine operation could not be secured without the expenditure of a much larger sum for additional track facilities than would be needed to put electric locomotives upon the present tracks.

Further instances could be cited where the benefits of electrification are badly needed and many of these are coal carrying roads among which the Virginian Railway stands out conspicuously as a good opportunity to make both a necessary improvement and a sound investment.

securing increased track capacity and improved service than by laying more rails and continuing the operation of still more steam engines in the same old wasteful way.

To conclude the startling picture of our present railway inefficiency, we are today wasting enough fuel on our steam engines to pay interest charges on the cost of completely electrifying all the railways in the United States,—fuel that Europe stands in sad need of and which England and Germany, the pre-war coal exporting countries, cannot now supply. With operating expenses mounting




Fig. 11. Map and Profile of West End Cumberland Division, Baltimore & Ohio Railroad

Reviewing the progress made in a short twenty-year period, we have seen the steam turbine and electric generator drive the reciprocating engine from the stationary power field. The same replacement is now taking place on our ships, big and small, notwithstanding the fact that the marine reciprocating engine is a very good engine indeed and operates under the ideal conditions of steady load and constant speed. And now the steam locomotive must in turn give way to the electric motor for the same good reason that the reciprocating steam locomotive has become obsolete and fails to respond to our advancing needs. Electrification affords a cheaper and better means of

to 82 per cent of revenue, inadequate equipment and congestion of tracks, what we need, in addition to constructive legislation and real co-operation on the part of the Government in the matter of rates and safeguarding invested capital, is wise direction in the expenditure of the large sums that must speedily be found and used to bring our railways abreast of the times. Accord full honor to the reciprocating steam engine for the great part it has played in the development of our railways and industries, but complete the work by replacing it with the electric motor and enter upon a new era of real railroading, not restricted steam engine railroading.